

Diamagnetism and the dispersion of the magnetic permeability

Johannes Skaar¹ and Christopher A. Dirdal¹

¹*Department of Electronics and Telecommunications,
Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway**

(Dated: December 23, 2014)

In the presence of spatial dispersion it is possible to have an analytic permeability function $\mu(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ that does not tend to 1 for high frequencies ω and fixed wavenumber $\mathbf{k}$. This fact explains how diamagnetism can be compatible with passivity and causality, while possessing negligible spatial dispersion in the low wavenumber spectrum.

PACS numbers: 78.20.Ci, 42.70.-a, 41.20.-q, 42.25.Bs

I. INTRODUCTION

With recent advances in metamaterial research, there is a renewed interest in the properties of the magnetic permeability function. The permeability function extracted by homogenization methods may show peculiar properties, such as a negative imaginary part, anomalous dispersion effects, and diamagnetism^{1,2}. This has lead to investigations on the properties of the magnetic permeability¹⁻⁷.

A related problem is the well known paradox that diamagnetism is not compatible with a permeability function that satisfies the Kramers–Kronig relations and has a positive imaginary part. Letting $\mu(\omega)$ be the permeability, and considering zero frequency in the Kramers–Kronig relation, one has

$$\mu(0) - 1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\text{Im} \mu(\omega) d\omega}{\omega}. \quad (1)$$

Apparently, (1) predicts that $\mu(0) < 1$ is not possible for media with $\text{Im} \mu(\omega) > 0$. It has been argued that the Kramers–Kronig relations should be modified^{2,8} to avoid this problem. It has also been argued that the magnetic permeability can have a negative imaginary part, at least for high frequencies^{2,4,6,7,9}.

In this article we show that Maxwell’s equations and the fundamental principle of causality do not require the magnetic permeability to approach unity for high frequencies and fixed wavenumbers. Causality is not violated, as the requirement $\mu \rightarrow 1$ for high frequencies is only necessary in the absence of sources (under eigenmodal propagation), where $k = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu}\omega/c$. Here ϵ is the permittivity and c is the vacuum light velocity. We consider the ambiguity in associating induced currents with the electric polarization or magnetization, and describe a simple homogeneous conductor or superconductor as concrete examples of media possessing diamagnetism. We find that their permeability does not tend to unity for high frequencies and fixed wavenumbers. This demonstrates that diamagnetism is possible while μ has a positive imaginary part and is analytic in the upper half-plane. Spatial dispersion is central in the description of diamagnetism; however, from our results it is seen that the medium may behave spatially nondispersive over a

wide wavenumber spectrum, from $k = 0$ to $k \sim \omega_p/c$, where ω_p is the plasma frequency. Finally, to compare with previous literature, we prove that the polarization–magnetization ambiguity means that for the same diamagnetic medium, other analytic μ ’s can be defined, that tend to 1 for high frequencies. However these functions get negative imaginary parts for some frequencies, while not violating the passivity requirement. Our findings therefore do not contradict previous results^{2,6,8,9}.

II. ELECTROMAGNETIC PARAMETERS

Following the classic treatments^{8,10}, we formulate electromagnetism in frequency–wavenumber space, starting from Ampere–Maxwell’s law and Faraday’s law

$$i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{H} = -i\omega\epsilon\epsilon_0\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}, \quad (2a)$$

$$i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} = i\omega\mu\mu_0\mathbf{H}. \quad (2b)$$

Here $\mathbf{J}$ is the source. The medium is assumed to be linear, isotropic, homogeneous, and nongyrotropic, but is otherwise allowed to be both temporal and spatial dispersive. This means that ϵ and μ may be dependent on ω and $\mathbf{k}$. We assume that μ is scalar, and write ϵ in the form^{8,10}

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{\perp} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{\perp} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

expressed in an orthogonal basis where the first unit vector is $\mathbf{k}/k$. By combining the two Maxwell equations above, we obtain the solutions

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{i\mu\mu_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{J}_{\perp}}{k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\epsilon_{\perp}\mu}, \quad (4a)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\perp} = \frac{i\omega\mu\mu_0\mathbf{J}_{\perp}}{k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\epsilon_{\perp}\mu}, \quad (4b)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\parallel} = \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\parallel}}{i\omega\epsilon_{\parallel}\epsilon_0}. \quad (4c)$$

In (4) the source $\mathbf{J}$ and field $\mathbf{E}$ are decomposed into their components parallel $\parallel$ and perpendicular $\perp$ to $\mathbf{k}$.

As $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ the fields do not feel the presence of the medium, so the solutions (4) must be the same as if we set ϵ and μ equal to unity in the expressions. Considering (4c) this immediately gives that $\epsilon_{\parallel} \rightarrow 1$. Also, for fixed k , (4b) (or (4a)) means $\epsilon_{\perp} \rightarrow 1$. Remarkably, we do not get any condition for the asymptotic behavior of μ . Indeed, for fixed k , the expressions become independent of μ in the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the permeability is not required to approach unity for high frequencies.

Nevertheless, even though μ does not necessarily approach unity, the magnetization vanishes in the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. This is a result of the fact that according to (4a), the magnetic field vanishes in this limit.

For eigenmodal propagation, $k^2 = \epsilon\mu\omega^2/c^2$, the situation is different. To see this, let a current source plane be located somewhere in the infinite medium. In the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ the generated waves must have the same amplitude and the same velocity as if the medium were not present. In other words, the impedance and phase velocity must take their vacuum values, which means that $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ and $\mu \rightarrow 1$ under eigenmodal propagation. This ensures relativistic causality, i.e., the front of a wave packet propagates at the speed of light in vacuum.

Returning to the Maxwell equations, we can reformulate Ampere–Maxwell’s law as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0} i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B} = -i\omega\epsilon_0\mathbf{E} - i\omega\mathbf{P} + i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{J}, \quad (5)$$

where we have used $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0(\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M})$ and $\epsilon\mathbf{E} = \epsilon_0\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$, where $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ are the polarization and magnetization densities, respectively. The expression

$$\mathbf{J}_{\text{ind}} \equiv -i\omega\mathbf{P} + i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{M} \quad (6)$$

corresponds to the induced currents in the medium. Apparently, any transformation of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ satisfying

$$-i\omega\mathbf{P}' + i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{M}' = -i\omega\mathbf{P} + i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{M} \quad (7)$$

will leave $\mathbf{J}_{\text{ind}}$ and the fundamental fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ unchanged. Substituting $\mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0(\epsilon - 1)\mathbf{E}$ and $\mu_0\mathbf{M} = (1 - \mu^{-1})\mathbf{B}$, and corresponding equations for the primed quantities, we find with the help of (2b):

$$\begin{aligned} & (\omega^2/c^2)(\epsilon' - 1)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{k} \times (1 - \mu'^{-1})\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} \\ &= (\omega^2/c^2)(\epsilon - 1)\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{k} \times (1 - \mu^{-1})\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Imagine now that ϵ and μ for a certain medium is known. Then (8) predicts the existence of another set of parameters ϵ' and μ' , which is equivalent to the first set. We may assume that μ' is scalar, provided we manage to satisfy (8). Writing ϵ (and ϵ') in the form (3), we obtain

$$\epsilon'_{\parallel} = \epsilon_{\parallel}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\epsilon'_{\perp} + \frac{k^2 c^2}{\omega^2} (1 - 1/\mu') = \epsilon_{\perp} + \frac{k^2 c^2}{\omega^2} (1 - 1/\mu). \quad (9b)$$

The relation (9) is well known in literature, although it is usually specialized to the case $\mu' = 1$. Such a choice is

particularly useful in the presence of spatial dispersion, where there is no set of local (independent of $\mathbf{k}$) parameters ϵ and μ ^{8,10}. Then it is convenient to specify the medium properties by a single (but nonlocal) quantity ϵ' . For spatially nondispersive media where ϵ and μ are independent of $\mathbf{k}$, it is often more convenient to retain these two parameters, as they are much simpler to use in practical situations formulated in the spatial domain. Since there is not always a set ϵ and μ such that the parameters are independent of $\mathbf{k}$, we allow all parameters in (9), ϵ , μ , ϵ' , and μ' , to depend on $(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ although not explicitly specified.

Apparently, a medium described by a given set of parameters ϵ and μ is equally well described by any other set of parameters, ϵ' and μ' , that satisfies (9). Physically this can be understood as follows: Circulating currents can be described as magnetization, or alternatively as time-dependent polarization. The choice of parameters determine how much of the total induced current $\mathbf{J}_{\text{ind}}$ is described by the magnetization vector and how much remains in the polarization. Clearly, only the transversal ($\perp$) part of the induced current can be associated with magnetization; the parallel ($\parallel$) part must remain in the polarization.

For the situation where the induced current is described solely by the permittivity (i.e., where $\mu' = 1$), we can prove that for passive media, $\text{Im } \epsilon' > 0$ for $\omega > 0$ ⁸. Using (9b) this means that^{6,7}

$$\text{Im } \epsilon'_{\perp} = \text{Im } \epsilon_{\perp} - \frac{k^2 c^2}{\omega^2} \text{Im } \frac{1}{\mu} > 0. \quad (10)$$

Thus

$$\text{Im } \mu > -\text{Im } \epsilon_{\perp} \frac{\omega^2 |\mu|^2}{k^2 c^2}. \quad (11)$$

In other words, the ambiguity for $\epsilon_{\perp}$ and μ makes it possible to define permeabilities or transverse permittivities with negative imaginary parts, even for passive media, as long as (11) is satisfied.

It is interesting to explore the analytic properties of the electromagnetic parameters. In (4) the fields are expressed from the sources, which means that it is straightforward to identify the response functions¹¹. Since the source is arbitrary, from (4c) we conclude that $1/\epsilon_{\parallel}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is analytic in the upper half-plane $\text{Im } \omega > 0$ for fixed $\mathbf{k}$. If we use the picture in which the medium is described solely by a permittivity ϵ' , it is usually assumed that the transversal permittivity $\epsilon'_{\perp}$ is an analytic function of ω ^{8,10,12}. In general, due to the presence of both functions $\epsilon_{\perp}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ and $\mu(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ in (4a)–(4b), they are not necessarily analytic functions separately. For example, we may choose to describe the transversal current by the permeability up to a given frequency, and abruptly describe it using the permittivity for higher frequencies. Although a somewhat artificial choice, it demonstrates that an extra condition is required to establish analyticity for $\epsilon_{\perp}$ and μ . Below, we will show how it is possible to ensure analyticity while having flexibility to define the parameters in a suitable way.

III. DIAMAGNETIC MEDIA

Inspired by the split-ring resonator metamaterial¹³, we realize that it is not always obvious which choice of parameters that is most “physical”. One may take the view that a split-ring resonator metamaterial, made of non-magnetic constituents, should be described by parameters $\epsilon' \neq 1$ and $\mu' = 1$. This is a perfectly valid and natural choice¹⁴, given that there is no microscopic magnetization in the medium. However, metamaterial research has shown that it is convenient to describe the circulating currents using a macroscopic magnetization vector. This amounts to using a set of parameters ϵ and μ , where $\mu \neq 1$.

As another well known example, we may consider a superconductor. Here there are two extremes^{15,16}: Either the induced current is described explicitly, or the transverse current is described in the form of an effective magnetization. In the latter case, it is argued that one has diamagnetism.

We now consider the superconductor example in more detail. If the induced current is described by the permittivity, the permeability is $\mu' = 1$, and the superconductor can be modeled by a two-fluid model in which the conductivity has two terms. The permittivity is¹⁶

$$\epsilon' = 1 - \frac{c^2}{\lambda^2 \omega^2} + \frac{\sigma_0 / \epsilon_0}{-i\omega(1 - i\omega\tau)}. \quad (12)$$

Here the second term describes the supercurrent, λ being the London penetration depth. The third term describes the normal current due to the Drude model; σ_0 and τ are positive constants, and ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space. For a (non-super) conductor, we can set $\lambda = \infty$.

It is also common to refer to a superconductor as diamagnetic. In this alternative picture, circulating currents in the superconductor is described by a magnetization. Then $\epsilon_{\perp} = 1$, and μ is obtained from

$$1 - 1/\mu = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2 k^2} + \frac{i\omega\mu_0\sigma_0}{(1 - i\omega\tau)k^2}. \quad (13)$$

The equivalence with the first set of parameters ϵ' and μ' is seen by substitution into (9).

We observe from (13) that the superconductor acts as a perfect diamagnet in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$; however for spatially varying fields the diamagnetism is not perfect due to the London penetration depth λ .

Note that although μ is nonlocal, it is not “unphysical”: Eq. (13) is the natural choice where induced, circulating currents are described as magnetization; in other words the implicit choice when characterizing superconductors as diamagnetic. The choice also corresponds to that of Pendry’s split ring resonator metamaterial, when magnetism emerges from circulating currents.

By inspection we find that $\mu = \mu(\omega, \mathbf{k})$, as given by (13), is analytic in the upper half-plane $\text{Im } \omega > 0$, for any fixed k . Also note that the permeability (13) is defined and has meaning even for high frequencies, as long as

the original ϵ' has meaning. However, we observe that $\mu(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ does not tend to unity as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, but rather tends to a real number $\mu(\infty, \mathbf{k})$ between 0 and 1:

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\infty, \mathbf{k})} = 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 k^2} + \frac{\mu_0 \sigma_0}{\tau k^2}. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, it satisfies a Kramers–Kronig relation of the type

$$\text{Re } \mu(\omega, \mathbf{k}) - \mu(\infty, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{P} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im } \mu(x, \mathbf{k}) x dx}{x^2 - \omega^2}, \quad (15)$$

where P denotes the Cauchy principal value. It is perhaps surprising that $\mu(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ does not tend to unity for high frequencies. Here it is important to remember that in the presence of sources, ω and $\mathbf{k}$ are generally not connected. For eigenmodal propagation where ω and $\mathbf{k}$ are connected by the dispersion relation, the permeability will indeed tend to unity for high frequencies (see Sec. II). We also recall that despite $\mu \not\rightarrow 1$, the magnetization vector will tend to zero even for a fixed $\mathbf{k}$.

Setting $\omega = 0$ in (15) we see that for our example, diamagnetism is indeed compatible with causality and $\text{Im } \mu(\omega, \mathbf{k}) > 0$. The only requirement from (15) is that

$$\mu(0, \mathbf{k}) > \mu(\infty, \mathbf{k}). \quad (16)$$

Apparently, spatial dispersion is central to the understanding of diamagnetism. For the medium as described by (13), the permeability depends on the wavenumber k ; significant diamagnetism is only present for small k ’s. Nevertheless, the medium appears spatially nondispersive for excitations with wavenumber spectra in the region $k \ll \lambda^{-1}$, or

$$k \ll \omega_p / c, \quad (17)$$

where $\omega_p = c/\lambda$ is the plasma frequency. For a conventional conductor with $\lambda = \infty$, the medium remains spatially nondispersive under the condition (17), where now $\omega_p^2 = \sigma_0 / \epsilon_0 \tau$ and $\omega\tau \gg 1$.

Given the flexibility of the division into $\epsilon_{\perp}$ and μ , it is interesting to see if it is possible to achieve $\mu \rightarrow 1$ for fixed k , even for a diamagnetic medium. It turns out that it is indeed possible, at the expense of obtaining $\text{Im } \mu < 0$ e.g. in the high-frequency region (while still describing a passive medium)^{6,9}. The idea is to let the division into $\epsilon_{\perp}$ and μ be frequency-dependent, so that the medium is described solely by a permittivity for high frequencies. From the parameter ϵ' (in the picture where $\mu' = 1$), we define a new (equivalent) set of parameters:

$$\epsilon_{\perp} = 1 + (1 - \alpha)(\epsilon'_{\perp} - 1), \quad (18a)$$

$$1 - 1/\mu = \alpha \frac{\omega^2}{k^2 c^2} (\epsilon'_{\perp} - 1). \quad (18b)$$

The parameter α is a weight factor describing the amount of transversal permittivity placed into μ . It is natural to require $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$; however, in principle, α may be a

completely arbitrary complex-valued function of ω and $\mathbf{k}$. Taking an ideal plasma response $\epsilon' = 1 - \omega_p^2/\omega^2$ as a simple example, we obtain

$$\epsilon_{\perp} = 1 - (1 - \alpha) \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}, \quad (19a)$$

$$1 - 1/\mu = -\alpha \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2 c^2}. \quad (19b)$$

Now, provided $\alpha = \alpha(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ for $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, we will get the asymptote $\mu \rightarrow 1$. To describe diamagnetism at low frequencies, we require that $\alpha(0) = 1$. We want μ to be analytic, so $\alpha(\omega)$ needs to be analytic. This involves making it complex-valued. From the Kramers–Kronig relations, or in particular (1), we know that the resulting function μ must have a negative imaginary part somewhere in the spectrum. This imaginary part must satisfy

(11). Clearly, since the new set $\epsilon_{\perp}$ and μ is equivalent to the passive original set $\epsilon'_{\perp}$ and $\mu' = 1$, the negative imaginary part does not contradict passivity.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have described diamagnetism as an effect related to spatial dispersion, although the medium can behave spatially nondispersive for restricted wavenumber spectra. It turns out that diamagnetism does not contradict passivity and the Kramers–Kronig relations, as the asymptote of the permeability for high frequencies and fixed $\mathbf{k}$ can be different from 1. Alternatively, one can exploit the ambiguity of the transverse permittivity and permeability to describe diamagnetism with a permeability that tends to unity but with a negative imaginary part somewhere in the spectrum.

* johannes.skaar@ntnu.no

- ¹ T. Koschny, P. Markoš, D. R. Smith, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 065602 (2003).
- ² M. G. Silveirinha, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 165119 (2011).
- ³ A. L. Efros, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 048602 (2004).
- ⁴ V. A. Markel, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 026608 (2008).
- ⁵ A. Alù, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 075153 (2011).
- ⁶ L. P. Pitaevskii, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **112**, 2998 (2012).
- ⁷ A. D. Yaghjian, A. Alù, and M. G. Silveirinha, in *7th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP)*, Proceedings of the European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (2013) pp. 3422–U692.
- ⁸ L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Electrodynamics of continuous media* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984).
- ⁹ P. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. **161**, 143 (1967).
- ¹⁰ V. M. Agranovich and V. L. Ginzburg, *Crystal optics with spatial dispersion, and excitons* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- ¹¹ O. V. Dolgov, D. A. Kirzhnits, and E. G. Maksimov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 81 (1981).
- ¹² In the literature it is common to regard the electric field as the excitation and the displacement field as the response.

However, as pointed out in¹¹, such an argument is not compelling since the electric field includes the response of the medium. Treating the source $\mathbf{J}$ as the excitation, and the electric field as the response, it follows that the response function

$$R(\omega) \equiv \frac{i\omega\mu_0}{k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\epsilon'_{\perp}} \quad (20)$$

must be analytic in the upper half-plane $\text{Im } \omega > 0$, for all real values of k . Even though $R(\omega)$ is analytic, it is not entirely obvious that $\epsilon'_{\perp} = \epsilon'_{\perp}(\omega)$ is. Since $R(\omega)$ is analytic there, any zero of $R(\omega)$ is of finite order. We can write

$$\epsilon'_{\perp}(\omega) = \frac{R(\omega)k^2 - i\omega\mu_0}{R(\omega)\omega^2/c^2}, \quad (21)$$

and thus $\epsilon'_{\perp}(\omega)$ is analytic except possibly of poles.

- ¹³ J. B. Pendry, A. J. Holden, D. J. Robbins, and W. J. Stewart, IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory and Tech. **47**, 2075 (1999).
- ¹⁴ M. G. Silveirinha, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 115104 (2007).
- ¹⁵ A. C. Rose-Innes and E. H. Rhoderick, *Introduction to superconductivity* (Pergamon Press, 1978).
- ¹⁶ T. P. Orlando and K. A. Delin, *Foundations of applied superconductivity* (Addison-Wesley, 1991).